Comment on "Suppression of πNN^* Coupling and Chiral Symmetry"

Michael C. Birse
Theoretical Physics Group, Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, U.K.

arXiv:hep-ph/9801413v2 22 Dec 1998

In a recent Letter [1], Jido, Oka and Hosaka have suggested that the πNN^* coupling of the odd-parity $N(1535)$ resonance is strongly suppressed as a consequence of chiral symmetry. Their argument is based on a pion-to-vacuum correlator of two interpolating fields,

$$\Pi^a(p) = i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle 0|T\big(J(x;s), \overline{J}(0;t)\big)|\pi^a(q)\rangle, \tag{1}$$

where the baryon fields $J(x,s)$ are taken from a one-parameter family of local products of three quark fields, with $J(x,-1)$ being the Ioffe field [2]. Jido, Oka and Hosaka consider the $q \to 0$ limit of this correlator, and use the soft-pion theorem to express it as a chiral rotation of the vacuum-to-vacuum correlator of the same baryon fields. The chiral transformation properties of their fields then imply that the correlator (1) is purely proportional to γ_5, with no piece of the form $\not{p}\gamma_5$.

The contribution of the $N(1535)$ to the spectral representation of (1) for $q \to 0$ contains a term of the form

$$g_{\pi NN^*}[\lambda_N(t)\lambda_{N^*}(s) - \lambda_N(s)\lambda_{N^*}(t)] \times \frac{m_N + m_{N^*}}{(p^2 - m_N^2)(p^2 - m_{N^*}^2)}\, i\not{p}\gamma_5, \tag{2}$$

in the narrow resonance approximation. Here the λ's denote the coupling strengths of the interpolating fields to the baryon states, and $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is the coupling of interest. The fields are chosen with $s \neq t$, such that one couples strongly to the nucleon, and the other to the $N(1535)$. If this contribution were the only one with this Dirac structure, then the coupling $g_{\pi NN^*}$ would vanish, as claimed in [1].

Note that this result for $g_{\pi NN^*}$ relies crucially on the soft pion limit, $q \to 0$, and hence at least one of the baryon states must be off-shell. This means that for any p^2 there will be contributions from all states that can be created by the corresponding interpolating fields. If the spectral representation of the correlator (1) is approximated by a set of discrete states, then the $\not{p}\gamma_5$ piece can be written as a sum of terms of the form (2), where the sum runs over all pairs of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ baryon states. By rewriting each term as a difference of single poles, this sum can be expressed in the form

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{i\not{p}\gamma_5}{p^2 - m_\alpha^2} \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} A_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3}$$

Here I have defined

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\pi\alpha\beta}[\lambda_\alpha(t)\lambda_\beta(s) - \lambda_\alpha(s)\lambda_\beta(t)]/|m_\alpha \pm m_\beta|, \tag{4}$$

where the sign in the denominator is determined by the relative parity of the two states.

Chiral symmetry requires that this sum vanish for all values of p^2, imposing the constraints $\sum_\beta A_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ on the couplings. The antisymmetry of $A_{\alpha\beta}$ means that, for a spectrum with n states, we have $n-1$ constraints on $n(n-1)/2$ quantities. If only two states, N and N^*, contribute then this does require $A_{NN^*} = 0$, from which the result of [1] follows: either $g_{\pi NN^*}$ vanishes or at least one of the states does not couple to the chosen fields. In contrast, if more than two states contribute, the vanishing of (3) does not demand that the individual $A_{\alpha\beta}$'s vanish.

Nonetheless one might hope that a good choice of the parameters s and t might minimise the couplings of the fields to other states. If such couplings were indeed small, one could then conclude that $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is suppressed. However, far from being small, the contributions of baryon continuum states to correlators of this type are in fact divergent. As stressed by Ioffe [3], the spectral representation of the correlator (1) should be expressed in the form of a double dispersion relation, with subtractions to remove the UV divergences. These subtractions generate terms with poles at either $p^2 = m_N^2$ or $p^2 = m_{N^*}^2$, and which have unknown coefficients. It is impossible to disentangle such terms from the one of interest (2) by going to one of the baryon poles. Moreover the p-dependence of these terms means that they cannot be eliminated by the usual Borel transform used in QCD sum rules.

Hence the absence of a $\not{p}\gamma_5$ piece from correlator (1) is a statement about the particular combination of pion-baryon couplings and subtraction terms that corresponds to the chosen interpolating fields. It does not imply that the physical πNN^* coupling of the $N(1535)$ is suppressed as a consequence of chiral symmetry alone. For example, sum rules based on a different choice of field for the $N(1535)$ show no evidence for suppression of $g_{\pi NN^*}$ compared to $g_{\eta NN^*}$ [4].

This work was supported by the EPSRC.

---

[1] D. Jido, M. Oka and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 448 (1998).

[2] B. L. Ioffe, Nucl. Phys. **B188**, 317 (1981); **B191**, 591(E).

[3] B. L. Ioffe and A. V. Smilga, Nucl. Phys. **B232** (1984) 109; B. L. Ioffe, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **58** (1995) 1408.

[4] H. Kim and S.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4278 (1997).